Spring 2019

# Lecture 03 Kinematics & Jacobian

Song Chaoyang

Assistant Professor

Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering

songcy@sustech.edu.cn



### Forward Kinematics

#### **General Procedure**



Adept Cobra i600 (SCARA)

revolute joint  $S^1 \mapsto SO(2)$ 



AncoraSIR.com

3/7/2019



2

SUSTech

### **Forward Kinematics**

### Example with PUMA 560



	_			
i	$\alpha_i - 1$	<i>a<sub>i</sub></i> – 1	di	θi
1	0	0	0	$\theta_1$
2	-90°	0	0	θ2
3	0	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>d</i> <sub>3</sub>	$\theta_3$
4	-90°	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	$d_4$	$\theta_4$
5	90°	0	0	$\theta_5$
6	-90°	0	0	$\theta_6$





 ${}^{1}_{2}T = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{2} & -s\theta_{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ -s\theta_{2} & -c\theta_{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad {}^{0}_{6}T = {}^{0}_{1}T {}^{1}_{6}T = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & p_{x} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & p_{y} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & p_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $r_{11} = c_1[c_{23}(c_4c_5c_6 - s_4s_5) - s_{23}s_5c_5] + s_1(s_4c_5c_6 + c_4s_6),$  $r_{21} = s_1[c_{23}(c_4c_5c_6 - s_4s_6) - s_{23}s_5c_6 - c_1(s_4c_5c_6 + c_4s_6),$  $r_{31} = -s_{23}(c_4c_5c_6 - s_4s_6) - c_{23}s_5c_6,$ 

 $r_{12} = c_1[c_{23}(-c_4c_5s_6 - s_4c_6) + s_{23}s_5s_6] + s_1(c_4c_6 - s_4c_5s_6),$  $r_{22} = s_1[c_{23}(-c_4c_5s_6 - s_4c_6) + s_{23}s_5s_6] - c_1(c_4c_6 - s_4c_5s_6),$  $r_{32} = -s_{23}(-c_4c_5s_6 - s_4c_6) + c_{23}s_5s_6,$ 

 $p_x = c_1 [a_2 c_2 + a_3 c_{23} - d_4 s_{23}] - d_3 s_1,$ 
$$\begin{split} p_y &= s_1 [a_2 c_2 + a_3 c_{23} - d_4 s_{23}] + d_3 c_1, \\ p_z &= -a_3 s_{23} - a_2 s_2 - d_4 c_{23}. \end{split}$$



#### AncoraSIR.com

3/7/2019

#### **Bionic Design & Learning Group**

### **Inverse Kinematics**

### $\{\mathbf{B}\} \leftarrow [\theta_1 \theta_2 \dots \theta_n] \leftarrow \{\mathbf{W}\} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{T}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{G}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{S}\}$

- Solving the problem of finding the required joint angles  $[\theta_1 \theta_2 \dots \theta_n]$  to place the tool frame, {T}, relative to the station frame, {S}
  - Find the wrist frame,  $\{W\}$ , relative to the base frame,  $\{B\}$
  - The inverse kinematics are used to solve for the joint angles





3/7/2019

SUSTech

## Solvability

### Solving a *nonlinear* set of equations

- Given  ${}_{6}^{0}T$  as sixteen numeric values (four of which are trivial), solve for the six joint angles  $[\theta_{1}\theta_{2}...\theta_{6}]$ .
  - 12 equations and six unknowns
  - Rotation Matrix
    - 3 out 9 equations are independent
  - Position Vector
    - 3 independent



 ${}^{0}_{6}T = {}^{0}_{1}T {}^{1}_{6}T = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & p_{x} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & p_{y} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & p_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{split} r_{11} &= c_1 [c_{23} (c_4 c_5 c_6 - s_4 s_5) - s_{23} s_5 c_5] + s_1 (s_4 c_5 c_6 + c_4 s_6), \\ r_{21} &= s_1 [c_{23} (c_4 c_5 c_6 - s_4 s_6) - s_{23} s_5 c_6 - c_1 (s_4 c_5 c_6 + c_4 s_6), \\ r_{31} &= -s_{23} (c_4 c_5 c_6 - s_4 s_6) - c_{23} s_5 c_6, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} r_{12} &= c_1 [c_{23} (-c_4 c_5 s_6 - s_4 c_6) + s_{23} s_5 s_6] + s_1 (c_4 c_6 - s_4 c_5 s_6), \\ r_{22} &= s_1 [c_{23} (-c_4 c_5 s_6 - s_4 c_6) + s_{23} s_5 s_6] - c_1 (c_4 c_6 - s_4 c_5 s_6), \\ r_{32} &= -s_{23} (-c_4 c_5 s_6 - s_4 c_6) + c_{23} s_5 s_6, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} r_{13} &= -c_1(c_{23}c_4s_5+s_{23}c_5)-s_1s_4s_5,\\ r_{23} &= -s_1(c_{23}c_4s_5+s_{23}c_5)+c_1s_4s_5,\\ r_{33} &= s_{23}c_4s_5-c_{23}c_5, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} p_x &= c_1[a_2c_2 + a_3c_{23} - d_4s_{23}] - d_3s_1, \\ p_y &= s_1[a_2c_2 + a_3c_{23} - d_4s_{23}] + d_3c_1, \\ p_z &= -a_3s_{23} - a_2s_2 - d_4c_{23}. \end{split}$$



#### AncoraSIR.com

3/7/2019

#### Bionic Design & Learning Group

## Workspace

Volume of space that the end-effector of the manipulator can reach

#### (a) Workspace calculation:



### (b) Construction of Workspace

- (c) Reachable Workspace
  - Volume of space that the robot can reach in at least one orientation
- (d) Dexterous Workspace
  - Volume of space that the robot end-effector can reach with all orientations

#### Workspace Example: A planar serial 3-bar linkage





AncoraSIR.com

**JS** lect

## **Multiple Solutions**

#### The robot needs to make a choice, sometimes difficult

One of the two possible solutions to reach point B causes a collision.



How the maximum number of solutions is related to how many of the link length parameters (the  $a_i$ ) are zero.

- The more that are nonzero, the bigger is the maximum number of solutions.
- For a completely general rotary-jointed manipulator with six degrees of freedom, there are up to sixteen solutions possible.

	ai	Number of solutions	
ncoraSIR com	$a_1 = a_3 = a_5 = 0$ $a_3 = a_5 = 0$ $a_3 = 0$ All $a_i \neq 0$	≤ 4 ≤ 8 ≤ 16 ≤ 16	<ol> <li>B. Roth, J. Rastegar, and V. Scheinman, "On the Design of Computer Controlled Manipulators," On the Theory and Practice of Robots and Manipulators, Vol. 1, First CISM-IFTOMM Symposium, September 1973, pp. 93-113.</li> <li>L. Tsai and A. Morgan, "Solving the Kinematics of the Most General Six- and Five- degree-of-freedom Manipulators by Continuation Methods," Paper 84-DET-20, ASME Mechanisms Conference. Boston. October 7-10, 1984</li> </ol>



Four solutions of

the PUMA 560.

## Method of Solution

There are no general algorithms that may be employed to solve a set of nonlinear equations

- Closed-form solutions vs. Numerical solutions
  - A closed solution method is based on analytic expressions or on the solution of a polynomial of degree 4 or less, such that noniterative calculations suffice to arrive at a solution.

### • *algebraic* and *geometric* methods for a closed solution

- The bottom-line is that all systems with revolute and prismatic joints having a total of six degrees of freedom in a single series chain are solvable
  - However, this general solution is a numerical one.
  - Only in special cases can robots with six degrees of freedom be solved analytically
- The "trick" to design a *closed-form-solvable* robot



• Intersecting joint axes or with twist angles equals to 0 or  $\pm 90$  degreesusted AncoraSIR.com

### Algebraic Solution

### Consider a three-link planar manipulator

 $c_{\phi} = c_{123}$  $s_{\phi} = s_{123},$  $x = l_1c_1 + l_2c_{12},$   $y = l_1s_1 + l_2s_{12}.$   $x^2 + y^2 = l_1^2 + l_2^2 + 2l_1l_2c_2,$  $c_{12} = c_1 c_2 - s_1 s_2,$  $s_{12} = c_1 s_2 + s_1 c_2.$ Check if a solution  $c_2 = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - l_1^2 - l_2^2}{2l_1 l_2}.$ exist within [-1,1] i  $\alpha_i - 1$  $\alpha_i - 1$  $d_i$  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$  $\theta_1$ 1 Ω 0 0  $s_2 = \pm \sqrt{1 - c_2^2}.$ 2 0  $L_1$  $\theta_{2}$ 0  $\theta_2 = \operatorname{Atan2}(s_2, c_2).$ 

#### AncoraSIR.com

 $\theta_3$ 

0

 $L_2$ 

0

3

### **Algebraic Solution**



### Pieper's Solution When 3 Axes Intersect

Also include the case with 3 consecutive parallel axes, as they meet at the point at infinity

• When the last three axes intersect, the origins of link frames {4}, {5}, and {6} are all located at this point of intersection.

 $u = \tan \frac{\theta}{2}$ , Solving for  $\theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3$  $\cos\theta = \frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2},$  $\sin\theta=\frac{2u}{1+u^2}.$ Pieper's Solution When 3 Axes Intersect (4.35)(4.50) dependence on  $\theta_1$ has been eliminated  $r = (k_1c_2 + k_2s_2)2a_1 + k_3, \quad r = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2 + a_1^2 + d_2^2 + 2d_2f_3 + 2a_1(c_2f_1 - s_2f_2).$  $z = (k_1 s_2 - k_2 c_2) s\alpha_1 + k_4, \qquad = g_3 = s_2 s\alpha_1 J_1 + c_2 s\alpha_1 J_2 + c\alpha_1 J_3 + a_2 c\alpha_1.$ dependence on  $\theta_2$ takes a simple form  $k_1 = f_1,$  $k_2 = -f_2,$  $f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2 = a_3^2 + d_4^2 + d_3^2 + a_5^2$  $k_3 = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2 + a_1^2 + d_2^2 + 2d_2f_3,$  $+ 2d_4d_3c\alpha_3 + 2a_2a_3c_3 + 2a_2d_4s\alpha_3s_3.$  $k_4 = f_3 c \alpha_1 + d_2 c \alpha_1.$ consider the 1. If  $a_1 = 0$ , then we have  $r = k_3$ , where r is known. The right-hand side  $(k_3)$  is a function of  $\theta_3$  only. After the substitution (4.35), a quadratic equation in tan  $\frac{\theta_3}{2}$ solution for  $\theta_3$ may be solved for  $\theta_3$ . 2. If  $s\alpha_1 = 0$ , then we have  $z = k_4$ , where z is known. Again, after substituting Then, we can via (4.35), a quadratic equation arises that can be solved for  $\theta_3$ . solve for  $\theta_1$ 3. Otherwise, eliminate  $s_2$  and  $c_2$  from (4.50) to obtain and  $\theta_2$  using  $\frac{(r-k_3)^2}{4a^2} + \frac{(z-k_4)^2}{s^2\alpha_1} = k_1^2 + k_2^2.$ (4.50)(4.52)AncoraSIR.com

## Solving for $\theta_4 \theta_5 \theta_6$

Pieper's Solution When 3 Axes Intersect

- These axes intersect, so these joint angles affect the orientation of only the last link.
  - The rotation portion of the specified goal is enough for solution
  - One can use exactly the Z-Y-Z Euler angle solution to solve
- Set one joint to be zero, then solve the other two, finally get back to the joint set to zero
  - We can compute  ${}^{0}_{4}R|_{\theta_4=0}$ 
    - the orientation of link frame {4} relative to the base frame when  $\theta_4 = 0$

 ${}^{4}_{6}R|_{\theta_{4}=0} = {}^{0}_{4}R^{-1}|_{\theta_{4}=0} {}^{0}_{6}R. \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta_{5} \theta_{6} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta_{4}$ 

- There are always two solutions for these last three joints,
  - So the total number of solutions for the manipulator will be twice the number found for the first three joints.



#### The Unimation PUMA 560



AncoraSIR.com

#### The Unimation PUMA 560



 $[{}^{0}_{3}T(\theta_{2})]^{-10}_{\phantom{-}6}T = {}^{3}_{4}T(\theta_{4}){}^{4}_{5}T(\theta_{5}){}^{5}_{6}T(\theta_{6}),$ 



#### The Unimation PUMA 560



When  $\theta_5 = 0$ , the manipulator is in a singular configuration in which joint axes 4 and 6 line up and cause the same motion of the last link of the robot.

#### The Unimation PUMA 560



 $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\4 T(\theta_4) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} {}^{0}_{6}T = {}^{4}_{5}T(\theta_5){}^{5}_{6}T(\theta_6),$ 

Another 4 flipped wrist solutions  $\theta'_4 = \theta_4 + 180^\circ$ ,

 $\theta_5' = -\theta_5,$ 

 $\theta_6' = \theta_6 + 180^\circ.$ 

A total of 8 solutions

 $\begin{bmatrix} c_{1}c_{23}c_{4} + s_{1}s_{4} & s_{1}c_{23}c_{4} - c_{1}s_{4} & -s_{23}c_{4} & -a_{2}c_{3}c_{4} + d_{3}s_{4} - a_{3}c_{4} \\ -c_{1}c_{23}s_{4} + s_{1}c_{4} & -s_{1}c_{23}s_{4} - c_{1}c_{4} & s_{23}s_{4} & a_{2}c_{3}s_{4} + d_{3}c_{4} + a_{3}s_{4} \\ -c_{1}s_{23} & -s_{1}s_{23} & -c_{23} & a_{2}s_{3} - d_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & p_{x} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & p_{y} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & p_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{5}c_{6} & -c_{5}s_{6} & -s_{5} & 0 \\ s_{6} & c_{6} & 0 & 0 \\ s_{5}c_{6} & -s_{5}s_{6} & c_{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $r_{13}(c_{1}c_{23}c_{4} + s_{1}s_{4}) + r_{23}(s_{1}c_{23}c_{4} - c_{1}s_{4}) - r_{33}(s_{23}c_{4}) = -s_{5},$  $r_{13}(-c_{1}s_{23}) + r_{23}(-s_{1}s_{23}) + r_{33}(-c_{23}) = c_{5}.$  $\theta_{5} = \operatorname{Atan2}(s_{5}, c_{5}),$  $4 \text{ solutions corresponding to } \theta_{1} \theta_{3}$  $(\frac{6}{5}T)^{-1} \frac{6}{6}T = \frac{5}{6}T(\theta_{6}).$  $s_{6} = -r_{11}(c_{1}c_{23}s_{4} - s_{1}c_{4}) - r_{21}(s_{1}c_{23}s_{4} + c_{1}c_{4}) + r_{31}(s_{23}s_{4}),$  $c_{6} = r_{11}[(c_{1}c_{23}c_{4} + s_{1}s_{4})c_{5} - c_{1}s_{23}s_{5}] + r_{21}[(s_{1}c_{23}c_{4} - c_{1}s_{4})c_{5} - s_{1}s_{23}s_{5}]$ 

AncoraSIR.com

### The Standard Frames

### Classical Methods vs. Learning Methods

- User Specified Frames {S}, {B}, {T}
  - $\{S\}$  w.r.t.  $\{B\}$  and  $\{T\}$  w.r.t.  $\{W\}$
- The "trick" of finding {G}
  - Classical method: User Defined
  - Learning method: System Learns

The robot system calculates a series of joint angles to move the joints through in order that the tool frame will move from its initial location in a smooth manner until  $\{T\} = \{G\}$ at the end of motion.

- Inverse Kinematics
- Motion Planning



### Repeatability, Accuracy & Computation

#### Practical Issues to be Considered

- **Repeatability**: How precisely a manipulator can return to a taught point
  - A taught point: One that the manipulator is moved to physically, and then the joint position sensors are read and the joint angles stored.
- Accuracy: The precision with which a computed point can be attained
  - A computed point: One in its workspace to which it has perhaps never gone before.
- **Computation**: Inverse Kinematics of a manipulator usually needs to be calculated at a fairly high rates, i.e. 30 Hz or faster
  - Numerical solution is usually computationally savvy, closed-form is always preferred
  - A table-lookup Atan2 routine can be used to attain higher speeds (no need to compute)
  - Optimized computation structure to find JUST THE ONE instead of ALL solutions
  - Usually the 1<sup>st</sup> solutions takes the most computation and the rest can be obtained by summing and differencing angles, subtracting  $\pi$ , and so on

AncoraSIR.com

### Motion of the links of a robot

### Velocity "Propagation" From Link To Link



### Example of a Two-link Manipulator

Calculate the velocity of the tip of the arm as a function of joint rates. Give the answer in two forms—in terms of frame {3} and also in terms of frame {0}.

#### AncoraSIR.com

3/7/2019

Southern University of Science and Tech

Ŷ

### Jacobian

### Adding static forces to the "equations"

$$y_{1} = f_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}),$$

$$y_{2} = f_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{6} = f_{6}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}).$$

$$\delta y_{1} = \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \delta x_{1} + \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \delta x_{2} + \dots + \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{6}} \delta x_{6},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{6} = f_{6}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}).$$

$$\delta y_{6} = \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial x_{1}} \delta x_{1} + \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial x_{2}} \delta x_{2} + \dots + \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial x_{6}} \delta x_{6},$$

Jacobian might also be found by directly differentiating the kinematic equations of the mechanism

In the field of robotics, we generally use Jacobians that relate joint velocities to Cartesian velocities of the tip of the arm

• The number of **rows** equals the number of **degrees of freedom** in the Cartesian space being considered.

Y = F(X).

• The number of **columns** in a Jacobian is equal to the **number of joints** of the manipulator.

AncoraSIR.com

a vector of Cartesian  ${}^{0}\nu = {}^{0}J(\Theta)\dot{\Theta}$  the vector of joint angles of the manipulator

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{v} \\ 0_{\omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\delta Y = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} \delta X.$   $\delta Y = J(X) \delta X.$   $\dot{Y} = J(X) \dot{X}.$ 



## Singularities

Is the Jacobian invertible for all values of  $\Theta$ ? If not, where is it not invertible?

• Invertibility problem of linear transformation

$$\dot{\Theta} = J^{-1}(\Theta)\nu.$$

At a singular point, the inverse Jacobian blows up!

- This results in joint rates approaching infinity as the singularity is approached
- We could calculate the necessary joint rates at each instant along the path
- Singularities of the Mechanism
  - Values of  $\Theta$  where the Jacobian becomes singular
    - All manipulators have singularities at the boundary of their workspace,
    - Most have loci of singularities inside their workspace
    - **1. Workspace-boundary singularities** occur when the manipulator is fully stretched out or folded back on itself in such a way that the end-effector is at or very near the boundary of the workspace.
    - 2. Workspace-interior singularities occur away from the workspace boundary; they generally are caused by a lining up of two or more joint axes.



### Singularities for 6R Manipulators

#### Case 1: Two Collinear Revolute Joints

 $J(\theta)$  is singular if there exists two joints

$$\xi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_1 \times q_1 \\ \omega_1 \end{bmatrix}, \xi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_2 \times q_2 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t.



The axes are parallel,
$$\omega_1=\pm\omega_2$$

The axes are collinear, 
$$\omega_i \times (q_1 - q_2) = 0, i = 1, 2$$

• Elementary row or column operation do not change rank of  $J(\theta)$ :

$$J(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_1 \times q_1 & -\omega_2 \times q_2 & \cdots \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times n} \xrightarrow{\omega_1 = \omega_2}$$
$$J(\theta) \sim \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_1 \times q_1 & -\omega_2 \times (q_2 - q_1) & \cdots \\ \omega_1 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_1 \times q_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \omega_1 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$



## Singularities for 6R Manipulators

Case 2: Three Parallel Coplanar Revolute Joint Axes  $J(\theta)$  is singular if there exists three joints s.t.

• The axes are parallel,  $\omega_i = \pm \omega_j, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ 

The axes are coplanar, i.e. there exists a plane with normal n s.t.  $n^T \omega_i = 0, n^T (q_i - q_j) = 0, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ 



### Singularities for 6R Manipulators

Case 3: Four Intersecting Revolute Joints Axes

 $J(\theta)$  is singular if there exists four concurrent revolute joints with intersection point q s.t.:

$$\omega_i \times (q_i - q) = 0, i = 1, \dots, 4$$

• Choose the frame origin at q,

$$p = q_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$$

$$J(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_3 & \omega_4 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$





## Manipulability

The ability to reach a certain position or set of positions => Workspace (complete/reachable/dextrous)
 The ability to change the position or orientation at a given configuration => around a given local configuration

• Jacobian relation of  $g: \theta \in Q \mapsto g(\theta) \in SE(3)$ 

$$V = J(\theta)\dot{\theta} \qquad (*)$$

• Inverse Jacobian:

Given 
$$v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
, solve for  $\dot{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  from (\*)

- Application: Kinematic control by Inverse Jacobian
  - Input: A desired  $g_d(t) \in SE(3), t \in [0,T]$
  - Output:  $\theta(k) = \theta(k\Delta T), \Delta T$ : Sampling period,  $k = 1, ..., N = [T/\Delta T]$
  - Step 1: Let  $g_d(k+1) = g(k)e^{V\Delta T} = g(\theta(k))e^{V\Delta T}$ , solve for

$$\hat{V}\Delta T = \log(g^{-1}(k) \cdot g_d(k+1))$$

• Step 2: Solve for  $\dot{\theta}(k)$  from  $V = J(\theta(k)) \cdot \dot{\theta}(k)$  and update

$$\theta(k+1) = \theta(k) + \dot{\theta}(k)\Delta T$$



## **Static Forces In Manipulators**

How forces and moments "propagate" from one link to the next

- Solve for the **set of joint torques** needed to support a static load acting at the end-effector
  - Lock all the joints so that the manipulator 1. becomes a structure.
  - 2. Consider each link in this structure and write a force-moment balance relationship in terms of each link frames
  - 3. Compute what static torque must be acting about the joint axis in order for the manipulator to be in static equilibrium

$${}^{i}f_{i} = {}^{i}f_{i+1},$$

$${}^{i}n_{i} = {}^{i}n_{i+1} + {}^{i}P_{i+1} \times {}^{i}f_{i+1}.$$

$${}^{i}f_{i} = {}^{i}_{i+1}R {}^{i+1}f_{i+1},$$

 ${}^{i}n_{i} = {}^{i}_{i+1}R {}^{i+1}n_{i+1} + {}^{i}P_{i+1} \times {}^{i}f_{i}.$ 



 $n_i$  = torque exerted on link *i* by link i - 1.

'Р. .

What torques are needed at the joints in order to balance the reaction forces and moments acting on the links?

(*i* + 1)

**Revolute** joints

 $\tau_i = {}^i f_i^T {}^i \hat{Z}_i$  Prismatic joints

 $\tau_i = {}^i n_i^T {}^i \hat{Z}_i$ 



A two-link manipulator is applying a force vector  ${}^{3}F$  with its end-effector. (Consider this force to be acting at the origin of  $\{3\}$ .) Find the required joint torques as a function of configuration and of the applied force.

### Example

 ${}^{i}f_{i} = {}^{i}_{i+1}R {}^{i+1}f_{i+1},$ 

 ${}^{i}n_{i} = {}^{i}_{i+1}R {}^{i+1}n_{i+1} + {}^{i}P_{i+1} \times {}^{i}f_{i}.$ 

- Lock all joints for a structure 1.
- 2. *Write Force equilibrium (From End to Base)*
- 3. *Compute Static Torque about the joint axis*



### Jacobians In The Force Domain

Use Virtual Work principal to analyze the static case by allowing infinitesimal displacement



AncoraSIR.com

### **Instantaneous Cartesian Transformation**

### Velocities & Static Forces

- 6x1 general velocity of a body  $v = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}$  6x1 general force vector  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F \\ N \end{bmatrix}$   $P \times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -p_x & p_y \\ p_x & 0 & -p_x \\ -p_y & p_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$i^{i+1}v_{i+1} = i^{i+1}_{i}R(iv_{i} + iw_{i} \times i^{i}P_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} B v_{B} \\ B w_{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B R & -B R \begin{bmatrix} A P_{BORG} \times \\ B \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A v_{A} \\ A w_{A} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Set to zero here assuming frames  
A & B are rigidly connected.  
$$A\mathcal{F}_{A} = \frac{A}{B}T_{f} \ B\mathcal{F}_{B} \qquad Force-moment \\ Transformation T_{f} \qquad Av_{A} = \frac{A}{B}T_{v} \ Bv_{B} & Velocity \\ Av_{A} = \frac{A}{B}T_{v} \ Bv_{B} & Velocity \\ Transformation T_{v} & Velocity \\ Av_{A} = \frac{A}{B}T_{v} \ Bv_{B} & \frac{A}{B}R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \mathcal{F}_{B} \\ B \mathcal{F}_{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A v_{A} \\ A w_{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A R & 0 \\ A P_{BORG} \times A R & A R \\ A P_{BORG} \times B R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \mathcal{F}_{B} \\ B \mathcal{F}_{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A v_{A} \\ A w_{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A R & A P_{BORG} \times A R \\ A w_{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B v_{B} \\ B v_{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Thank you!

Prof. Song Chaoyang

• Dr. Wan Fang (<u>sophie.fwan@hotmail.com</u>)

